

Commentary on Meier *et al.* (2018): Smoke and mirrors—are adolescent cannabis users vulnerable to cognitive impairment?

A growing body of evidence challenges the assertion that adolescence represents a period of vulnerability to neurocognitive harms from cannabis use. Innovative and cross-discipline methodologies are required to address limitations and work towards reliable public health messages.

Reporting in *Addiction* [1] on data from the Environmental Risk Longitudinal Twin Study (E-Risk), Meier *et al.* found no evidence that adolescent cannabis use or dependence was associated with IQ decline between the ages of 12 and 18 years. Moreover, they found no difference in IQ at age 18 and minimal differences in executive functioning between co-twins discordant for cannabis use, suggesting that family background factors may explain the lower neurocognitive performance often reported in cannabis users. The authors therefore conclude that: ‘...relatively short-term cannabis use in adolescence does not appear to cause IQ decline or impair executive functions, even when cannabis use reaches the level of dependence’.

As Meier *et al.* discuss, these findings are in line with a number of recent prospective cohort studies on cannabis use and neurocognitive performance, in which outcomes were assessed during adolescence or early adulthood [2–5]. Of interest, Meier *et al.* draw a comparison between these studies and two other cohorts with follow-ups in older adulthood that, instead, did find evidence of neurocognitive decline in cannabis users [6,7]. Without longer follow-up, whether impairment will emerge in later life in the younger cannabis users is not clear. However, given the common assumption that cannabis use in adolescence is particularly detrimental to neurocognitive functioning, relative to delaying use until adulthood, the lack of impairment in young cannabis users is of interest.

Assertions that adolescence represents a period of vulnerability to neurocognitive harms of cannabis use are based largely on a previous, highly influential study by Meier and colleagues using the Dunedin cohort [6]. Here they reported that adolescent- but not adult-onset cannabis users experienced IQ decline, but only in those who had persistent, heavy cannabis use or dependence during adulthood. Some [8–13], although certainly not all [14–17], smaller cross-sectional studies have also found evidence of lower performance in early- compared to late-onset or non-users, or of associations between age of onset and performance. There is, however, very little

consistency across studies, particularly in terms of affected cognitive domains.

An important consideration, as highlighted by Meier *et al.* [1], is that only 1% of the E-Risk cohort used cannabis multiple times a day. Could this very heavy level of use cause neurocognitive decline in adolescence, which was not detected in the E-Risk cohort? In order to test this, Meier *et al.* point out that larger cohort studies will be needed, such as the recently initiated Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development study ($n = 10\,000$) [18]. However, as very heavy use is rare in the general population, even large cohorts may not be powered adequately to test such effects.

One way to address this issue is to recruit according to pre-specified cannabis use levels, ensuring that heavy-users are over-sampled. Such a design, implemented longitudinally, could increase statistical power to assess neurocognitive trajectories associated with long-term heavy cannabis use in adolescence and beyond. Importantly, comparisons between early- and late-onset users are often confounded substantially by longer duration and heavier cannabis use (e.g. three times the quantity and twice as often [11]) in early-onset users. To address more effectively the question of adolescent vulnerability, innovative methods of matching participants (e.g. propensity score-matching) of different ages and ages of onset on key cannabis use variables and other factors could reduce (although never completely eliminate) this potential confounding.

Placebo-controlled studies can also complement observational methods, allowing assessment of causal effects of cannabis exposure in different age groups. Indeed, we recently showed contrasting patterns of acute cannabis effects in adolescent and adult cannabis users [19]. Specifically, adolescents experienced blunted intoxication and memory effects of cannabis, offering preliminary evidence that adolescents may be resilient to some acute effects of cannabis. As cannabis policy changes, randomized controlled trials testing the effects of repeated administration of medicinal cannabis products on neurocognitive functioning in certain populations may also become feasible.

In conclusion, this paper adds to a growing body of evidence challenging the assertion that adolescence is a period of vulnerability to putative neurocognitive harms of cannabis use. It is important that, while the evidence remains unclear, the risks to teenagers using cannabis, parents and policymakers alike are not over-stated. Further

research using innovative and complementary methods will be key in advancing our understanding of age-related differences in vulnerability.

Declaration of interests

None.

Acknowledgements

C. M. is funded by an MRC research grant. T. F. is funded by a senior academic fellowship from the Society for the Study of Addiction.

Keywords Adolescence, cannabis, IQ, longitudinal, neurocognitive, vulnerability.

CLAIRE MOKRYSZ¹  & TOM P. FREEMAN^{1,2} 

Clinical Educational and Health Psychology, University College London, London, UK¹ and National Addiction Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, Kings College London, London, UK²

E-mail: c.mokrysz@ucl.ac.uk

Submitted 27 September 2017; final version accepted 29 September 2017

References

- Meier M. H., Caspi A., Danese A., Fisher H. L., Houts R., Arseneault L. *et al.* Associations between adolescent cannabis use and neuropsychological decline: a longitudinal co-twin control study. *Addiction* 2018; **113**: 257–65.
- Mokrysz C., Landy R., Gage S., Munafò M., Roiser J., Curran H. Are IQ and educational outcomes in teenagers related to their cannabis use? A prospective cohort study. *J Psychopharmacol* 2016; **30**: 159–68.
- Jackson N. J., Isen J. D., Khoddam R., Irons D., Tuvblad C., Iacono W. G. *et al.* Impact of adolescent marijuana use on intelligence: results from two longitudinal twin studies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2016; **113**: E500–8.
- Castellanos-Ryan N., Pingault J.-B., Parent S., Vitaro E., Tremblay R. E., Séguin J. R. Adolescent cannabis use, change in neurocognitive function, and high-school graduation: a longitudinal study from early adolescence to young adulthood. *Dev Psychopathol* 2017; **29**: 1253–66.
- Boccio C. M., Beaver K. M. Examining the influence of adolescent marijuana use on adult intelligence: further evidence in the causation versus spuriousness debate. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2017; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2017.04.007>.
- Meier M. H., Caspi A., Ambler A., Harrington H., Houts R., Keefe R. S. *et al.* Persistent cannabis users show neuropsychological decline from childhood to midlife. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2012; **109**: E2657–64.
- Auer R., Vittinghoff E., Yaffe K., Künzi A., Kertesz S. G., Levine D. A. *et al.* Association between lifetime marijuana use and cognitive function in middle age: the coronary artery risk development in young adults (CARDIA) study. *JAMA Intern Med* 2016; **176**: 352–61.
- Solowij N., Jones K. A., Rozman M. E., Davis S. M., Ciarrochi J., Heaven P. C. *et al.* Verbal learning and memory in adolescent cannabis users, alcohol users and non-users. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2011; **216**: 131–44.
- Crane N. A., Schuster R. M., Mermelstein R. J., Gonzalez R. Neuropsychological sex differences associated with age of initiated use among young adult cannabis users. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 2015; **37**: 389–401.
- Sagar K. A., Dahlgren M. K., Gönenç A., Racine M. T., Dreman M. W., Gruber S. A. The impact of initiation: early onset marijuana smokers demonstrate altered Stroop performance and brain activation. *Dev Cogn Neurosci* 2015; **16**: 84–92.
- Gruber S. A., Sagar K. A., Dahlgren M. K., Racine M., Lukas S. E. Age of onset of marijuana use and executive function. *Psychol Addict Behav* 2012; **26**: 496.
- Tamm L., Epstein J. N., Lisdahl K. M., Molina B., Tapert S., Hinshaw S. P. *et al.* Impact of ADHD and cannabis use on executive functioning in young adults. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2013; **133**: 607–14.
- Pope H. G., Gruber A. J., Hudson J. I., Cohane G., Huestis M. A., Yurgelun-Todd D. Early-onset cannabis use and cognitive deficits: what is the nature of the association? *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2003; **69**: 303–10.
- Fontes M. A., Bolla K. I., Cunha P. J., Almeida P. P., Jungerman E., Laranjeira R. R. *et al.* Cannabis use before age 15 and subsequent executive functioning. *Br J Psychiatry* 2011; **198**: 442–7.
- Hester R., Nestor L., Garavan H. Impaired error awareness and anterior cingulate cortex hypoactivity in chronic cannabis users. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2009; **34**: 2450–8.
- Tait R. J., Mackinnon A., Christensen H. Cannabis use and cognitive function: 8-year trajectory in a young adult cohort. *Addiction* 2011; **106**: 2195–203.
- Winward J. L., Hanson K. L., Tapert S. F., Brown S. A. Heavy alcohol use, marijuana use, and concomitant use by adolescents are associated with unique and shared cognitive decrements. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2014; **20**: 784–95.
- Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study. Available at: www.abcdstudy.org (accessed 25 September 2017) (Archived at <http://www.webcitation.org/6ufmSxttP> on 2 November 2017).
- Mokrysz C., Freeman T., Korkki S., Griffiths K., Curran H. Are adolescents more vulnerable to the harmful effects of cannabis than adults? A placebo-controlled study in human males. *Transl Psychiatry* 2016; **6**: e961.