



## Original Investigation | Psychiatry

# Association of Air Pollution Exposure in Childhood and Adolescence With Psychopathology at the Transition to Adulthood

Aaron Reuben, MEM; Louise Arseneault, PhD; Andrew Beddows, PhD; Sean D. Beevers, PhD; Terrie E. Moffitt, PhD; Antony Ambler, MSc; Rachel M. Latham, PhD; Joanne B. Newbury, PhD; Candice L. Odgers, PhD; Jonathan D. Schaefer, PhD; Helen L. Fisher, PhD

## Abstract

**IMPORTANCE** Air pollution exposure damages the brain, but its associations with the development of psychopathology are not fully characterized.

**OBJECTIVE** To assess whether air pollution exposure in childhood and adolescence is associated with greater psychopathology at 18 years of age.

**DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS** The Environmental-Risk Longitudinal Twin Study is a population-based cohort study of 2232 children born from January 1, 1994, to December 4, 1995, across England and Wales and followed up to 18 years of age. Pollution data generation was completed on April 22, 2020; data were analyzed from April 27 to July 31, 2020.

**EXPOSURES** High-resolution annualized estimates of outdoor nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) and particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 μm (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) linked to home addresses at the ages of 10 and 18 years and then averaged.

**MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES** Mental health disorder symptoms assessed through structured interview at 18 years of age and transformed through confirmatory factor analysis into continuous measures of general psychopathology (primary outcome) and internalizing, externalizing, and thought disorder symptoms (secondary outcomes) standardized to a mean (SD) of 100 (15). Hypotheses were formulated after data collection, and analyses were preregistered.

**RESULTS** A total of 2039 participants (1070 [52.5%] female) had full data available. After adjustment for family and individual factors, each interquartile range increment increase in NO<sub>x</sub> exposure was associated with a 1.40-point increase (95% CI, 0.41-2.38; *P* = .005) in general psychopathology. There was no association between continuously measured PM<sub>2.5</sub> and general psychopathology (*b* = 0.45; 95% CI, -0.26 to 1.11; *P* = .22); however, those in the highest quartile of PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure scored 2.04 points higher (95% CI, 0.36-3.72; *P* = .02) than those in the bottom 3 quartiles. Copollutant models, including both NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>, implicated NO<sub>x</sub> alone in these significant findings. NO<sub>x</sub> exposure was associated with all secondary outcomes, although associations were weakest for internalizing (adjusted *b* = 1.07; 95% CI, 0.10-2.04; *P* = .03), medium for externalizing (adjusted *b* = 1.42; 95% CI, 0.53-2.31; *P* = .002), and strongest for thought disorder symptoms (adjusted *b* = 1.54; 95% CI, 0.50-2.57; *P* = .004). Despite NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations being highest in neighborhoods with worse physical, social, and economic conditions, adjusting estimates for neighborhood characteristics did not change the results.

(continued)

## Key Points

**Question** Is exposure to outdoor air pollution in childhood and adolescence associated with the development of psychopathology at the transition to adulthood?

**Findings** In this cohort study of 2039 UK-born children followed up for 2 decades, early-life exposure to nitrogen oxides was significantly associated with general psychopathology at 18 years of age, representing greater internalizing, externalizing, and thought disorder symptoms. The associations were not attributable to individual or family-level factors or to disadvantageous neighborhood characteristics.

**Meaning** These findings suggest that exposure to nitrogen oxides in early life may be a nonspecific risk factor for the development of psychopathology as young people begin the transition to adulthood.

## + Supplemental content

Author affiliations and article information are listed at the end of this article.

Abstract (continued)

**CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE** Youths exposed to higher levels of outdoor NO<sub>x</sub> experienced greater psychopathology at the transition to adulthood. Air pollution may be a nonspecific risk factor for the development of psychopathology.

JAMA Network Open. 2021;4(4):e217508. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.7508

## Introduction

Outdoor air pollution is a toxicant mix with known cardiovascular and respiratory health effects.<sup>1</sup> Emerging evidence suggests that air pollution can also directly (eg, via translocation of ultrafine-pollutant particles across the nasal olfactory nerve) or indirectly (eg, via inflammatory signaling from other organ systems, particularly the lungs) harm the central nervous system (CNS).<sup>2,3</sup> Air pollution exposure has consequently been implicated in diverse CNS damage, including vascular damage, chronic neuroinflammation, glial-cell dysregulation, and, in children, global impairments to brain structural integrity, neuron proliferation, and signaling cascades.<sup>4-6</sup>

Although the cellular effects of air pollution exposure are well described, the functional consequences have yet to be fully characterized. In particular, emerging observational evidence has implicated air pollutants in risk for varied psychiatric disorders, including attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, depression, anxiety, and schizophrenia.<sup>7-13</sup>

This evidence base has limitations. First, previous work has been based on cross-sectional observations, limiting causal inference. Second, most work has primarily examined exposure and mental illness among adults, making it difficult to determine what role pollution may have played in the development of psychiatric symptoms, which tend to first emerge in childhood and adolescence.<sup>14,15</sup> Child air pollution exposure is a particular concern because neurodevelopment extends from the prenatal period through adolescence,<sup>16</sup> with maturational processes (eg, cortical thinning) continuing into young adulthood.<sup>17</sup> Immature cerebral vasculature may also render children more vulnerable to toxicants.<sup>18</sup> Third, previous work has generally examined associations between air pollution and individual psychiatric disorders, an approach that does not take into account the dimensional nature of most psychiatric problems or the high rates of concurrent and sequential comorbidity among disorders.<sup>14</sup> Fourth, few studies have been able to rule out key threats to causal inference posed by the potential self-selection into poor-air neighborhoods by families with greater liability to mental illness or the potential influence of disadvantageous aspects of the neighborhood environment associated with air pollutant concentrations and mental illness (eg, poverty, crime, and physical dilapidation).<sup>19,20</sup> Fifth, previous work has often relied on city-level or aggregate air quality data, making findings prone to the ecological fallacy.

The current study uses data from a nationally representative UK cohort followed up from birth to 18 years of age to test the hypothesis that greater air pollution exposure in childhood and adolescence is associated with greater psychopathology at the transition to adulthood (ie, 18 years of age). To address the limitations of prior research, air pollution exposure was measured using high-resolution (20 × 20 m; address level) estimates of the 2 pollutants most evaluated with respect to CNS disease,<sup>21</sup> particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 μm (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), each assessed when individuals were 10 and 18 years of age. Because the existing evidence suggests that air pollution is associated with increased risk of multiple disorders, psychopathology was measured using a continuous latent factor that captured general liability to multiple types of psychiatric problems, known as the general psychopathology p-factor (prespecified primary outcome).<sup>22-25</sup> To ensure that associations with general psychopathology were not attributable to a single domain of psychiatric symptoms, air pollution associations were also examined with the 3 constituent correlated factors of the p-factor: internalizing, externalizing, and thought disorder symptoms (prespecified secondary outcomes). Finally, to rule out confounding attributable to family self-selection or disadvantageous aspects of the neighborhood environment,

air pollution and psychopathology associations were adjusted using a series of high-quality measures of family and individual factors and of disadvantageous neighborhood characteristics, including socioeconomic deprivation, physical dilapidation, social disconnection, and dangerousness.

## Methods

### Sample

Participants were members of the Environmental-Risk (E-Risk) Longitudinal Twin Study, which tracks the development of a nationally representative cohort of 2232 twins born in 1994 to 1995 in England and Wales and initially assessed at 5 years of age. The full sample comprised 56% monozygotic and 44% dizygotic twin pairs; sex was evenly distributed within zygosity (49% male). Follow-up home visits were conducted when participants were 7 (98% participation), 10 (96%), 12 (96%), and 18 (93%) years of age. The cohort was evenly distributed across England and Wales (eFigure 1 in the [Supplement](#)), and the cohort's neighborhoods represent the full range of socioeconomic conditions in Great Britain. eFigure 2 in the [Supplement](#) shows that E-Risk study families' addresses are a near-perfect match to the deciles of the UK government's 2015 Index of Multiple Deprivation, which ranks British neighborhoods in terms of relative deprivation at an area level of approximately 1500 residents; approximately 10% of the E-Risk study cohort fills each of the index's 10% bands, indicating that the cohort accurately represents the distribution of deprivation in the United Kingdom. eTable 1 in the [Supplement](#) displays sociodemographic characteristics of the E-Risk study participants at 18 years of age. Further details are reported elsewhere<sup>26</sup> and in eAppendix 1 in the [Supplement](#). The Joint South London and Maudsley and the Institute of Psychiatry Research Ethics Committee approved each phase of the study. Parents gave written informed consent, and twins between 5 and 12 years of age gave assent and then written informed consent at 18 years of age. This study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) reporting guidelines.<sup>27</sup>

### Measures

#### Air Pollution Exposure in Childhood and Adolescence

Mean annual exposure to  $\text{NO}_x$ , a regulated gaseous pollutant composed of nitric oxide (NO) and nitrogen dioxide ( $\text{NO}_2$ ), and to  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ , a regulated aerosol pollutant with suspended solid and liquid particles less than 2.5  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter, was estimated based on the latitude-longitude coordinates of participants' residential addresses at 10 and 18 years of age.<sup>8</sup> The exposure estimation procedures have been previously described.<sup>28</sup> Briefly, exposure was modeled at the local scale using emissions data from the UK National Atmospheric Emissions Inventory and the Imperial College's UK road-traffic emissions inventory. Air quality was modeled down to individual streets, providing annual mean estimates of  $\text{NO}_x$  and  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  at  $20 \times 20\text{-m}$  grid points throughout the country (ie, at address level). Participants' long-term exposure in childhood and adolescence was estimated by taking the mean of the 2 annual exposure estimates. Estimates from the 2 ages were highly correlated ( $\text{NO}_x$   $r = 0.83$ ,  $P < .001$ ;  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$   $r = 0.87$ ,  $P < .001$ ), as were the resulting long-term mean estimates of the 2 pollutants ( $r = 0.83$ ,  $P < .001$ ). Pollution data generation was completed on April 22, 2020; data were analyzed from April 27 to July 31, 2020. Further details are given in eAppendix 2 and eTable 2 in the [Supplement](#).

#### Psychopathology at the Transition to Adulthood

**Assessment of Symptoms of Mental Disorder** | At 18 years of age, participants were interviewed about past-year symptoms of mental disorder. These methods have been previously described.<sup>29</sup> Briefly, 5 externalizing-spectrum disorder symptoms were assessed, including alcohol dependence, cannabis dependence, tobacco dependence, conduct disorder, and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Four internalizing-spectrum disorder symptoms were assessed, including depression, generalized anxiety disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, and eating disorder. Thought disorder

symptoms were assessed via 7 items about delusions and hallucinations and 6 items about unusual thoughts and feelings. Details are given in eAppendix 3 in the [Supplement](#).

**The Structure of Psychopathology** | Using confirmatory factor analysis, we estimated 2 standard models<sup>30,31</sup> that are frequently used to examine hierarchically structured constructs: a correlated-factors model with 3 factors (representing internalizing, externalizing, and thought disorder) and a bifactor model specifying a general psychopathology factor (eFigure 3 in the [Supplement](#)) in addition to the 3 specific factors. Symptoms corresponding to disorders of distress (depression, generalized anxiety disorder, and posttraumatic stress disorder) and eating pathology loaded on the internalizing factor; symptoms corresponding to disorders of substance use (alcohol, cannabis, and tobacco) and oppositional behavior (conduct disorder and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder) loaded on the externalizing factor; and symptoms corresponding to disorders associated with psychosis loaded on the thought disorder factor. Factor loadings and model fit are provided in the article by Schaefer et al<sup>29</sup> and summarized in eAppendix 3 in the [Supplement](#). Models fit the data well. For expository purposes, scores on each factor were scaled to a mean (SD) of 100 (15).

### Family- and Individual-Level Covariates

Family-level covariates included family socioeconomic status and family psychiatric history. Individual-level covariates included participant history of emotional and behavioral problems in early childhood and tobacco smoking. Details on these covariates are provided in eAppendix 4 in the [Supplement](#).

### Disadvantageous Aspects of the Neighborhood Environment

Disadvantageous neighborhood characteristics were measured through ecological risk assessment that combines information from 4 independent sources of data: (1) geodemographic data from local governments, (2) official crime data from the UK Police, (3) Google street view–based systematic social observation, and (4) surveys of neighborhood residents, conducted by the E-Risk study team. These data sources were used to measure 4 neighborhood characteristics across childhood (from 5 to 17 years of age): deprivation, dilapidation, disconnection, and dangerousness. These measures have been previously described,<sup>32,33</sup> and details are given in eAppendix 5 in the [Supplement](#). An overall composite ecological-risk index was created by summing values across the 4 measures.

## Statistical Analysis

### Testing Air Pollution–Psychopathology Associations

The first analytic step investigated air pollution associations with psychopathology. First, associations between air pollution exposure and the primary outcome, general psychopathology, were tested using ordinary least squares multiple linear regression following 4 models regressing the outcome onto the 2 pollutants separately: (1) a sex-adjusted baseline model taking into account known sex differences in psychopathology; (2) a family factors–adjusted model adjusting for sex, family socioeconomic status, and family psychiatric history; (3) an individual factors–adjusted model adjusting for sex and participant history of emotional and behavioral problems in early childhood and tobacco smoking up to 18 years of age; and (4) a fully adjusted model, including all family and individual factors. All models were run using the air pollutants measured continuously and rescaled to interquartile range increments, which is a common approach to enable comparison of statistical effect sizes across air pollutants with different absolute concentration ranges, and dichotomized to the top quartile vs the bottom 3 quartiles, following the methods of Newbury et al,<sup>8</sup> as a sensitivity test to index potential threshold effects that occur at the high end of exposures, which exceeded current health guidelines (see eTable 1 in the [Supplement](#) for the quartile cutoffs).

Second, to test for specificity in psychopathology symptom associations, the above modeling steps were performed again with the secondary outcomes, the correlated factors of internalizing, externalizing, and thought disorder symptoms.

Third, to test for specificity in individual pollutant associations, the fully adjusted model with general psychopathology was performed again with both air pollutants, NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>, included simultaneously to produce a copollutant model.

Fourth, to test for specificity in developmental timing of associations, all fully adjusted models were rerun with the pollution measures decomposed into separate estimates for the ages of 10 and 18 years. Sensitivity tests performed these models again using only participants who did not change their address before 10 years of age (n = 1277 [62.6%]) or between 10 and 18 years of age (n = 1457 [71.5%]) to keep neighborhood conditions as consistent as possible.

### Accounting for Disadvantageous Neighborhood Characteristics

The second analytic stage sought to account for disadvantageous neighborhood characteristics that may be correlated with air pollution and that could otherwise account for air pollution–psychopathology associations. Only significant air pollutants identified in the first stage were carried forward to the second. All fully adjusted models were rerun with additional adjustment for each measure of the neighborhood environment (deprivation, dilapidation, disconnection, and dangerousness) one at a time and then collectively via the overall Ecological Risk Index. Given documented associations of air pollution with urbanicity and urbanicity with mental illness,<sup>34,35</sup> additional adjustment was also applied for a 3-category measure of urbanicity (urban, n = 635 [32.1%]; intermediate, n = 942 [47.7%]; and rural, n = 400 [20.2%]; eAppendix 5 in the [Supplement](#)). As with the first analytic stage, pollutant(s) were analyzed as both continuous measures and dichotomized at the top quartile to test threshold effects.

Because the E-Risk study comprises twins, the nonindependence of participants within families was accounted for in all models by adjusting the SEs. Analyses were conducted in Stata, version 16.1 (StataCorp LLC). All analyses were prespecified; study premise and analysis plan were preregistered.<sup>36</sup> Findings were checked for reproducibility by an independent data analyst, who recreated the code by working from the manuscript and applied it to a fresh data set. Significance tests were 2-tailed  $\alpha = .05$ .

## Results

A total of 2039 participants (1070 [52.5%] female) had full air pollution and psychopathology data. Attrition analysis is detailed in eAppendix 6 in the [Supplement](#). Mean annualized NO<sub>x</sub> exposure in childhood and adolescence in the analytic sample ranged from 2.45 to 113.07  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (mean [SD], 29.55 [15.44]). A total of 447 participants' (21.9%) NO<sub>x</sub> exposure exceeded World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines<sup>37</sup> for NO<sub>2</sub> ( $\geq 40 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ), a component of NO<sub>x</sub>. Mean annualized PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure in childhood and adolescence ranged from 2.92 to 19.34  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  (mean [SD], 11.59 [2.08]). A total of 1716 participants' (84.2%) PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure exceeded WHO guidelines<sup>37</sup> ( $\geq 10 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ ).

### Association of Air Pollution Exposure in Childhood and Adolescence With Psychopathology at the Transition to Adulthood

On average, children and adolescents exposed to higher levels of continuously measured NO<sub>x</sub> air pollution had greater psychopathology at the transition to adulthood (**Table 1**). After sex was adjusted for, each interquartile range increment increase in NO<sub>x</sub> exposure was associated with a 1.45-point increase (95% CI, 0.34–2.55;  $P = .01$ ) in general psychopathology (on a mean [SD] scale of 100 [15]). Adjustment for family socioeconomic status, family psychiatric history, participant history of emotional and behavioral problems, and participant tobacco smoking did not change the results (fully adjusted  $b = 1.40$ ; 95% CI, 0.41–2.38;  $P = .005$ ). In prespecified sensitivity tests, associations of NO<sub>x</sub> with general psychopathology remained statistically significant when air pollution was dichotomized at the top quartile of exposure (top quartile vs the bottom 3 quartiles) to test potential threshold effects at the highest levels of exposure, which exceeded WHO guidelines (Table 1). After full adjustment for family and individual factors, participants in the highest quartile of NO<sub>x</sub> exposure

in childhood and adolescence scored 2.62 points higher on general psychopathology than their peers in the bottom 3 quartiles (95% CI, 0.96-4.27;  $P = .002$ ).

In contrast to the findings for  $\text{NO}_x$ , exposure to continuously measured  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  was not associated with general psychopathology, regardless of adjustment for covariates ( $b = 0.45$ ; 95% CI,  $-0.26$  to  $1.15$ ;  $P = .22$ ) (Table 1). However, prespecified sensitivity tests of pollution at the highest levels using  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  dichotomized at the top quartile of exposure revealed that exposure to  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  was significantly related to general psychopathology in this analysis of extremes (Table 1). After full adjustment for family and individual factors, participants in the highest quartile of  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  exposure scored 2.04 points higher on general psychopathology (95% CI, 0.36-3.72;  $P = .02$ ) than their peers in the bottom 3 quartiles.

**Table 2** presents the results of multivariable linear regressions testing the association of  $\text{NO}_x$  and  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  pollution exposure with the secondary outcomes of internalizing, externalizing, and thought disorder symptoms. On average, children exposed to higher levels of continuously measured  $\text{NO}_x$  air pollution displayed greater psychopathology across all psychiatric domains, after adjustment for covariates. Association sizes were weakest for internalizing (fully adjusted  $b = 1.07$ ; 95% CI, 0.10-2.04;  $P = .03$ ), medium for externalizing (fully adjusted  $b = 1.42$ ; 95% CI, 0.53-2.31;  $P = .002$ ), and strongest for thought disorder symptoms (fully adjusted  $b = 1.54$ ; 95% CI, 0.50-2.57;  $P = .004$ ).

**Table 1. Association of Exposure to  $\text{NO}_x$  and  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  Air Pollution in Childhood and Adolescence With General Psychopathology at 18 Years of Age<sup>a</sup>**

| Model  | Air pollution exposure measured continuously and scaled to the interquartile range |         |                      |         | Air pollution exposure dichotomized to test the highest exposures (top quartile vs bottom 3 quartiles) |         |                     |         |
|--|--|---------|----------------------|---------|--|---------|---------------------|---------|
|  | $\text{NO}_x$  |         | $\text{PM}_{2.5}$    |         | $\text{NO}_x$  |         | $\text{PM}_{2.5}$   |         |
|  | b (95% CI)   | P value | b (95% CI)           | P value | b (95% CI)   | P value | b (95% CI)          | P value |
| Sex-adjusted model                             | 1.45 (0.34 to 2.55)  | .01     | 0.28 (−0.52 to 1.07) | .49     | 2.78 (0.91 to 4.66)  | .004    | 2.21 (0.33 to 4.08) | .02     |
| Family factors-adjusted model <sup>b</sup>     | 1.26 (0.23 to 2.29)  | .02     | 0.31 (−0.45 to 1.06) | .43     | 2.25 (0.46 to 4.04)  | .01     | 1.82 (0.03 to 3.61) | .05     |
| Individual factors-adjusted model <sup>c</sup> | 1.40 (0.38 to 2.42)  | .007    | 0.39 (−0.33 to 1.11) | .29     | 2.75 (1.05 to 4.45)  | .002    | 2.15 (0.42 to 3.87) | .02     |
| Fully adjusted model <sup>d</sup>              | 1.40 (0.41 to 2.38)  | .005    | 0.45 (−0.26 to 1.15) | .22     | 2.62 (0.96 to 4.27)  | .002    | 2.04 (0.36 to 3.72) | .02     |

Abbreviations:  $\text{NO}_x$ , a regulated gaseous pollutant composed of nitric oxide and nitrogen dioxide;  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ , a regulated aerosol pollutant with suspended solid and liquid particles smaller than 2.5  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter.

<sup>a</sup> The table presents analyses conducted in the full analytic sample of participants with complete air pollution and psychopathology data (analytic sample  $N = 2039$ ). The  $b$  coefficients represent unit change in psychopathology factor scores per interquartile range increment increase in pollutant exposure (in the  $b$  coefficient columns under the "air pollution exposure measured continuously and scaled to the interquartile range" heading) and moving from the bottom 3 quartiles of air pollutant exposure to the top quartile (in the  $b$  coefficient columns under the "air pollution exposure dichotomized to test the highest exposures [top quartile vs bottom 3 quartiles" heading]). General

psychopathology was standardized to a mean (SD) of 100 (15). The nonindependence of children within families was accounted for in all models by adjusting the SEs.

<sup>b</sup> The family factors model was adjusted for sex, family socioeconomic status, and family psychiatric history.

<sup>c</sup> The individual factors model was adjusted for sex, participant history of emotional and behavioral problems, and tobacco smoking.

<sup>d</sup> The fully adjusted model was adjusted for sex, family socioeconomic status, family psychiatric history, participant history of emotional and behavioral problems, and tobacco smoking.

**Table 2. Fully Adjusted Association of  $\text{NO}_x$  and  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$  Air Pollution Exposure in Childhood and Adolescence With the Correlated Factors of Internalizing, Externalizing, and Thought Disorder at 18 Years of Age<sup>a</sup>**

| Disorder                | Air pollution exposure measured continuously and scaled to the interquartile range |         |                      |         | Air pollution exposure dichotomized to test extremes (top quartile vs bottom three) |         |                      |         |
|-------------------------|--|---------|----------------------|---------|---|---------|----------------------|---------|
|                         | $\text{NO}_x$  |         | $\text{PM}_{2.5}$    |         | $\text{NO}_x$   |         | $\text{PM}_{2.5}$    |         |
|                         | b (95% CI)   | P value | b (95% CI)           | P value | b (95% CI)  | P value | b (95% CI)           | P value |
| General psychopathology | 1.40 (0.41 to 2.38)  | .005    | 0.45 (−0.26 to 1.15) | .22     | 2.62 (0.96 to 4.27)   | .002    | 2.04 (0.36 to 3.72)  | .02     |
| Internalizing           | 1.07 (0.10 to 2.04)  | .03     | 0.25 (−0.47 to 0.96) | .50     | 1.81 (0.16 to 3.45)   | .03     | 1.49 (−0.19 to 3.17) | .08     |
| Externalizing           | 1.42 (0.53 to 2.31)  | .002    | 0.64 (0.02 to 1.26)  | .04     | 2.37 (0.81 to 3.94)   | .003    | 1.54 (−0.01 to 3.09) | .05     |
| Thought disorder        | 1.54 (0.50 to 2.57)  | .004    | 0.51 (−0.23 to 1.24) | .18     | 3.18 (1.46 to 4.90)   | <.001   | 2.50 (0.75 to 4.25)  | .005    |

Abbreviations:  $\text{NO}_x$ , a regulated gaseous pollutant composed of nitric oxide and nitrogen dioxide;  $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ , a regulated aerosol pollutant with suspended solid and liquid particles smaller than 2.5  $\mu\text{m}$  in diameter.

<sup>a</sup> The table presents analyses conducted in the full analytic sample of participants with complete air pollution and psychopathology data (analytic sample  $N = 2039$ ). The  $b$

coefficients represent unit change in psychopathology factor scores per interquartile range increment increase in pollutant exposure and moving from the bottom 3 quartiles of air pollutant exposure to the top quartile. General psychopathology was standardized to a mean (SD) of 100 (15). The nonindependence of children within families was accounted for in all models by adjusting the SEs.



Use of NO<sub>x</sub> exposure dichotomized at the top quartile did not change the results ( $b = 2.62$ ; 95% CI, 0.96-4.27;  $P = .002$  for general psychopathology;  $b = 1.81$ ; 95% CI, 0.16-3.45;  $P = .03$  for internalizing symptoms;  $b = 2.37$ ; 95% CI, 0.81-3.94;  $P = .003$  for externalizing symptoms; and  $b = 3.18$ ; 95% CI, 1.46-4.90;  $P < .001$  for thought disorder symptoms) (Table 2 and Figure 1).

For continuously measured PM<sub>2.5</sub>, associations with the secondary outcomes were only significant with externalizing symptoms (fully adjusted  $b = 0.64$ ; 95% CI,  $-0.02$ -1.26;  $P = .04$ ), after adjustment for covariates. However, use of the dichotomized PM<sub>2.5</sub> measure revealed that this pollutant was also significantly associated with thought disorder symptoms (fully adjusted  $b = 2.50$ ; 95% CI, 0.75-4.25;  $P = .005$ ) when pollutant extremes are taken into account (Table 2 and Figure 1).


Next, given the high correlation between NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> ( $r = 0.83$ ,  $P < .001$ ), the primary tests of air pollution-general psychopathology associations were rerun with the inclusion of both pollutants in the fully adjusted model to test for independence of pollutant statistical effects, using the significant dichotomized version of the pollutant measures. In this copollutant model, neither pollutant remained statistically significantly associated with the primary outcome. However, the strength of the association of NO<sub>x</sub> with general psychopathology was barely attenuated (copollutant-adjusted  $b = 2.54$  vs original  $b = 2.62$ , 3% attenuation), whereas the PM<sub>2.5</sub> association was fully attenuated (copollutant-adjusted  $b = 0.10$  vs original  $b = 2.04$ , 95% attenuation). Given this finding, only NO<sub>x</sub> was carried forward to the second analytic stage.

Finally, sensitivity test results (eTable 3 in the Supplement) found that associations were similar when exposures at 10 and 18 years of age were considered separately and that removing participants who moved before 10 years of age or between 10 and 18 years of age did not change the results (eg,  $b = 1.40$  for the association of NO<sub>x</sub> and psychopathology in the full analytic sample and  $b = 1.41$  in the subsample of participants who did not move before the age of 10 years).

### Accounting for Correlated Disadvantageous Neighborhood Characteristics

Figure 2 presents the mean annual NO<sub>x</sub> concentration across the United Kingdom during the study window, showing higher NO<sub>x</sub> levels within urban areas and along busy roadways. Annual NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations across participants' childhoods were higher in neighborhoods with worse physical, social, and economic conditions (Pearson  $r$ 's between 0.25 and 0.45 for the association of NO<sub>x</sub> with neighborhood characteristics) (eTable 4 in the Supplement). This finding raised the possibility that air pollution-psychopathology associations could be driven by the presence of correlated disadvantageous neighborhood characteristics that are also associated with psychopathology.

**Figure 1. Mean Psychopathology Factor Scores at Age 18 Years for Participants at the Top vs Bottom 3 Quartiles of Nitrogen Oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) and Particulate Matter Less Than 2.5  $\mu$ m (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) Exposure in Childhood and Adolescence**



Mean estimates were adjusted for covariates of sex, family socioeconomic status, family psychiatric history, participant history of emotional and behavioral problems, and tobacco smoking. Error bars present 95% CIs. Psychopathology factors were scaled within the cohort to a mean (SD) of 100 (15). After full adjustment for family and individual factors, participants in the highest quartile of NO<sub>x</sub> exposure in childhood and adolescence scored 2.62 points higher (95% CI, 0.96-4.27 points;  $P = .002$ ) on general psychopathology than their peers in the bottom 3 quartiles, and participants in the highest quartile of PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure scored 2.04 points higher (95% CI, 0.36-3.72;  $P = .02$ ).


Adding each measure of the neighborhood environment to the fully adjusted psychopathology models one at a time and then collectively via the overall Ecological Risk Index did not change the results (Figure 3; eTable 5 in the Supplement). Adjustment for urbanicity also did not change the results, with NO<sub>x</sub> exposure, continuously measured or dichotomized at the top quartile, remaining significantly associated with all outcomes.

Discussion

This longitudinal cohort study of air pollution exposure in childhood and adolescence and psychopathology at the transition to adulthood generated 2 main findings. First, youths exposed to higher levels of NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> air pollution had greater levels of general psychopathology at 18 years of age, driven primarily by greater rates of externalizing and thought disorder symptoms. Copollutant models identified NO<sub>x</sub> as the most robust factor. Second, NO<sub>x</sub>-psychopathology associations were found to be independent of urbanicity; individual and family risks, such as family psychiatric history; and disadvantageous neighborhood characteristics correlated with air pollution, including deprivation, dilapidation, disconnection, and dangerousness.

These results collectively suggest that youths persistently exposed to moderate levels of NO<sub>x</sub> air pollution may experience greater overall liability to psychiatric illness by young adulthood—a liability independent of other individual, family, and neighborhood influences on mental health. The association of NO<sub>x</sub> with psychopathology was modest ( $r = 0.08$ ), suggesting that outdoor air pollution is unlikely to be a major etiologic factor in an individual's psychiatric illness risk across short time spans.<sup>38</sup> For comparison, the association size is less than half of that seen, in the E-Risk study cohort, for the well-described nonmodifiable risk factor of family history of mental illness ( $r = 0.21$ ). Nevertheless, the association size matches that of other neurotoxicants implicated in

Figure 2. Mean Annual Concentrations of Nitrogen Oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) Outdoor Air Pollution Across the United Kingdom




Annual concentrations are averaged across 2004 and 2012. Inserts depict concentrations in major cities, including London, England.



psychopathology risk, particularly lead ( $r \approx 0.08$ ).<sup>39</sup> Notably, when exposures are widespread, even small elevations in risk can result in significant increases in the burden of disease at the population level.<sup>40</sup> The WHO estimates that 91% of the global population is exposed to outdoor air pollutants in excess of current guidelines.<sup>41</sup> Given the ubiquity of this exposure, air pollution could represent a meaningful contributor to the global burden of psychiatric illness, particularly in poor air-quality regions.

What mechanisms could explain associations of early life air pollution exposure with elevations in the p-factor of general psychopathology at the transition to adulthood? First, elevations in the p-factor reported here replicate the general trend of distinct mental illness risk factors leading to nondistinct symptoms. Family history of mental illness and exposure to childhood adversity both robustly elevate risk of mental illness, but with little specificity.<sup>25</sup> One previously proposed hypothesis to explain these findings is that disruptions to effective CNS development, whether genetic or environmental, result, along a gradient, in less effective control over emotions, reflecting more difficulties in inhibiting negative emotions and cognitive and behavioral responses to emotions.<sup>25</sup> This ineffective control can arise alongside other markers of impaired CNS development, such as lower cognitive function, which has also been reported, along a gradient, among children exposed to outdoor air pollutants.<sup>42,43</sup> Overall, robust but nonspecific elevations in psychopathology after air pollution exposure reflect the findings that air pollutants can effect diverse and diffuse CNS developmental impairments, depending on the pollutant mix, duration of exposure, age of exposure, and pathway to the brain (direct or indirect).<sup>33,44</sup>

Figure 3. Association of Nitrogen Oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) Exposure With Psychopathology, Adjusting for Disadvantageous Neighborhood Characteristics



Overall ecological risk represents a composite of all disadvantageous neighborhood characteristics (socioeconomic deprivation, physical dilapidation, social disconnection, and dangerousness) measured using geodemographic data from local governments, official crime data from the UK Police, Google street view-based systematic social observation, and surveys of neighborhood residents. The b coefficients represent unit change in psychopathology factor scores at 18 years of age per interquartile range increment increase in NO<sub>x</sub> exposure in childhood and adolescence. All models were adjusted for sex, family socioeconomic status, family psychiatric history, participant history of emotional and behavioral problems, and tobacco smoking. The nonindependence of children within families was accounted for by adjusting the SEs. Boxes represent the point estimates, and horizontal lines represent the 95% CIs.

## Limitations

This study has limitations. First, pollutant-exposure estimates were modeled and not based on personal exposure monitoring, although the model achieves much higher spatial resolution than most studies in the field (20 × 20 m). Second, prenatal and preschool exposures were not measured, although pollutant trends were consistent across the ages of 10 and 18 years, and removal of participants who moved before 10 years of age did not alter results. Third, this study used only measures of NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> and cannot inform about risks related to other criteria pollutants, notably carbon monoxide. Relatedly, our measure of NO<sub>x</sub> could be a marker of other, unmeasured traffic-related air pollutants,<sup>45</sup> such as elemental carbon and lead. Because lead was not banned from gasoline in the UK until 2000 (at approximately 6 years of age for E-Risk study children), participants with higher NO<sub>x</sub> exposure also likely had higher early life lead exposure.<sup>46</sup> Fourth, we were unable to rule out traffic-related noise, which has been associated with poor psychiatric outcomes.<sup>47,48</sup> Fifth, the extent to which these findings would generalize to contexts with extremely high pollutant concentrations (eg, China, India, and Nepal) is unknown. Sixth, this study was observational and cannot establish causation, although it was able to use high-quality measurements of covariates to address important alternate explanations at the individual, family, and neighborhood levels.

## Conclusions

In this longitudinal cohort study, youths exposed to higher levels of NO<sub>x</sub> air pollution in childhood and adolescence experienced greater psychopathology at the transition to adulthood. These findings suggest that air pollution may be a nonspecific risk factor for the development of psychopathology.

## ARTICLE INFORMATION

**Accepted for Publication:** March 1, 2021.

**Published:** April 28, 2021. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.7508

**Open Access:** This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [CC-BY License](#). © 2021 Reuben A et al. *JAMA Network Open*.

**Corresponding Author:** Helen L. Fisher, PhD, Social, Genetic, and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology, and Neuroscience, King's College London, 16 De Crespigny Park, London SE5 8AF, United Kingdom ([helen.2.fisher@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:helen.2.fisher@kcl.ac.uk)).

**Author Affiliations:** Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina (Reuben, Moffitt); King's College London, Social, Genetic, and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology, and Neuroscience, London, United Kingdom (Arseneault, Moffitt, Ambler, Latham, Fisher); Economic and Social Research Council Centre for Society and Mental Health, King's College London, London, United Kingdom (Arseneault, Latham, Fisher); Environmental Research Group, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom (Beddows, Beevers); Medical Research Council Centre for Environment and Health, Imperial College London, United Kingdom (Beevers); Center for Genomic and Computational Biology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina (Moffitt); Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina (Moffitt); PROMENTA, Department of Psychology, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway (Moffitt); Bristol Medical School: Population and Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom (Newbury); Department of Psychological Science, University of California, Irvine (Odgers); Social Science Research Institute, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina (Odgers); Institute of Child Development, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis (Schaefer).

**Author Contributions:** Mr Reuben and Dr Fisher had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

**Concept and design:** Reuben, Arseneault, Moffitt, Odgers, Fisher.

**Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data:** Reuben, Arseneault, Beddows, Beevers, Moffitt, Ambler, Latham, Newbury, Odgers, Schaefer.

**Drafting of the manuscript:** Reuben, Beddows.

**Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content:** Reuben, Arseneault, Beevers, Moffitt, Ambler, Latham, Newbury, Odgers, Schaefer, Fisher.

**Statistical analysis:** Reuben, Ambler, Schaefer.

**Obtained funding:** Arseneault, Beevers, Moffitt, Fisher.

**Administrative, technical, or material support:** Arseneault, Beddows, Beevers, Ambler, Odgers.

**Supervision:** Fisher.

**Conflict of Interest Disclosures:** Dr Newbury reported receiving grants from Wellcome Trust and the British Academy during the conduct of the study. Dr Fisher reported receiving grants from the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC)–Medical Research Council (MRC)–Chief Scientist Office (CSO), Wellcome Trust, and Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) during the conduct of the study. No other disclosures were reported.

**Funding/Support:** The Environmental-Risk (E-Risk) Longitudinal Twin Study is funded by grant G1002190 from the MRC. Additional support was provided by grant HD077482 from the US National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, Google, the Jacobs Foundation, joint grant NE/P010687/1 from NERC-MRC-CSO, and grant 204823/Z/16/Z from the King's Together Multi and Interdisciplinary Research Scheme (Wellcome Trust Institutional Strategic Support Fund). Mr Reuben was supported by grant F31ES029358 from the US National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. Professor Odgers was supported by fellowships from the Jacobs Foundation and the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research. Professor Arseneault is the Mental Health Leadership Fellow for the ESRC. Dr Fisher was supported by grant ES/S012567/1 from the ESRC to the Centre for Society and Mental Health at King's College London. Dr Newbury was supported by Sir Henry Wellcome Postdoctoral Fellowship 218632/Z/19/Z from the Wellcome Trust. Dr Schaefer was supported by T32 Postdoctoral Fellowship T32MH015755 from the US National Institute of Mental Health.

**Role of the Funder/Sponsor:** The funding sources had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

**Disclaimer:** The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the ESRC or King's College London.

**Additional Contributions:** Avshalom Caspi, PhD, Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina, one of the founders of the E-Risk study, provided funding for the E-Risk Study and assisted with conceptualizing and designing this longitudinal cohort study. Nutthida Kitwiroon, PhD, Environmental Research Group, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom, modeled the air pollution data. The Nuffield Foundation and the Avielle Foundation provided additional funding for the E-Risk study, and CACI Inc provided access to their measure of neighborhood deprivation. They were not compensated for their work. We thank the study mothers and fathers, the twins, and the twins' teachers for their participation and members of the E-Risk study team for their dedication, hard work, and insights.

## REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Review of Evidence on Health Aspects of Air Pollution—REVIHAAP Project: Final Technical Report. 2013. Accessed March 27, 2020. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK361805/>
2. Lucchini RG, Dorman DC, Elder A, Veronesi B. Neurological impacts from inhalation of pollutants and the nose-brain connection. *Neurotoxicology*. 2012;33(4):838-841. doi:10.1016/j.neuro.2011.12.001
3. Peters A, Veronesi B, Calderón-Garcidueñas L, et al. Translocation and potential neurological effects of fine and ultrafine particles a critical update. *Part Fibre Toxicol*. 2006;3:13. doi:10.1186/1743-8977-3-13
4. Herting MM, Younan D, Campbell CE, Chen J-C. Outdoor air pollution and brain structure and function from across childhood to young adulthood: a methodological review of brain MRI studies. *Front Public Health*. 2019;7:332. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2019.00332
5. Salvi A, Salim S. Neurobehavioral consequences of traffic-related air pollution. *Front Neurosci*. 2019;13:1232. doi:10.3389/fnins.2019.01232
6. Costa LG, Cole TB, Coburn J, Chang Y-C, Dao K, Roqué PJ. Neurotoxicity of traffic-related air pollution. *Neurotoxicology*. 2017;59:133-139. doi:10.1016/j.neuro.2015.11.008
7. McGuinn LA, Windham GC, Kalkbrenner AE, et al. Early life exposure to air pollution and autism spectrum disorder: findings from a multisite case-control study. *Epidemiology*. 2020;31(1):103-114. doi:10.1097/EDE.0000000000001109
8. Newbury JB, Arseneault L, Beevers S, et al. Association of air pollution exposure with psychotic experiences during adolescence. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2019;76(6):614-623. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.0056

9. Horsdal HT, Agerbo E, McGrath JJ, et al. Association of childhood exposure to nitrogen dioxide and polygenic risk score for schizophrenia with the risk of developing schizophrenia. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2019;2(11):e1914401. doi:[10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.14401](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.14401)
10. Braithwaite I, Zhang S, Kirkbride JB, Osborn DPJ, Hayes JF. Air pollution (particulate matter) exposure and associations with depression, anxiety, bipolar, psychosis and suicide risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2019;127(12):126002. doi:[10.1289/EHP4595](https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP4595)
11. Zhao T, Markevych I, Romanos M, Nowak D, Heinrich J. Ambient ozone exposure and mental health: a systematic review of epidemiological studies. *Environ Res*. 2018;165:459-472. doi:[10.1016/j.envres.2018.04.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2018.04.015)
12. Jorcano A, Lubczyńska MJ, Pierotti L, et al. Prenatal and postnatal exposure to air pollution and emotional and aggressive symptoms in children from 8 European birth cohorts. *Environ Int*. 2019;131:104927. doi:[10.1016/j.envint.2019.104927](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.104927)
13. Oudin A, Bråbäck L, Åström DO, Strömberg M, Forsberg B. Association between neighbourhood air pollution concentrations and dispensed medication for psychiatric disorders in a large longitudinal cohort of Swedish children and adolescents. *BMJ Open*. 2016;6(6):e010004. doi:[10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010004](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010004)
14. Caspi A, Houts RM, Ambler A, et al. Longitudinal assessment of mental health disorders and comorbidities across 4 decades among participants in the Dunedin Birth Cohort Study. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(4):e203221-e203221. doi:[10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3221](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3221)
15. Kim-Cohen J, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Harrington H, Milne BJ, Poulton R. Prior juvenile diagnoses in adults with mental disorder: developmental follow-back of a prospective-longitudinal cohort. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2003;60(7):709-717. doi:[10.1001/archpsyc.60.7.709](https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.60.7.709)
16. Rice D, Barone S Jr. Critical periods of vulnerability for the developing nervous system: evidence from humans and animal models. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2000;108(suppl 3):511-533.
17. Tamnes CK, Østby Y, Fjell AM, Westlye LT, Due-Tønnessen P, Walhovd KB. Brain maturation in adolescence and young adulthood: regional age-related changes in cortical thickness and white matter volume and microstructure. *Cereb Cortex*. 2010;20(3):534-548. doi:[10.1093/cercor/bhp118](https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhp118)
18. Saunders NR, Liddelow SA, Dziegielewska KM. Barrier mechanisms in the developing brain. *Front Pharmacol*. 2012;3:46. doi:[10.3389/fphar.2012.00046](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2012.00046)
19. Bell ML, Ebisu K. Environmental inequality in exposures to airborne particulate matter components in the United States. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2012;120(12):1699-1704. doi:[10.1289/ehp.1205201](https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1205201)
20. Mikati I, Benson AF, Luben TJ, Sacks JD, Richmond-Bryant J. Disparities in distribution of particulate matter emission sources by race and poverty status. *Am J Public Health*. 2018;108(4):480-485. doi:[10.2105/AJPH.2017.304297](https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2017.304297)
21. Suades-González E, Gascon M, Guxens M, Sunyer J. Air pollution and neuropsychological development: A review of the latest evidence. *Endocrinology*. 2015;156(10):3473-3482. doi:[10.1210/en.2015-1403](https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2015-1403)
22. Laceulle OM, Vollebergh WAM, Ormel J. The structure of psychopathology in adolescence: replication of a general psychopathology factor in the TRAILS study. *Clin Psychol Sci*. 2015;3(6):850-860. doi:[10.1177/2167702614560750](https://doi.org/10.1177/2167702614560750)
23. Martel MM, Pan PM, Hoffmann MS, et al. A general psychopathology factor (P factor) in children: Structural model analysis and external validation through familial risk and child global executive function. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 2017;126(1):137-148. doi:[10.1037/abn0000205](https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000205)
24. Gluschkoff K, Jokela M, Rosenström T. The General Psychopathology Factor: Structural stability and generalizability to within-individual changes. *Front Psychiatry*. 2019;10:594. doi:[10.3389/fpsy.2019.00594](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2019.00594)
25. Caspi A, Moffitt TE. All for one and one for all: Mental disorders in one dimension. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2018;175(9):831-844. doi:[10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.17121383](https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2018.17121383)
26. Moffitt TE; E-Risk Study Team. Teen-aged mothers in contemporary Britain. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2002;43(6):727-742. doi:[10.1111/1469-7610.00082](https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-7610.00082)
27. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP; STROBE Initiative. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Lancet*. 2007;370(9596):1453-1457. doi:[10.1016/S0140-6736\(07\)61602-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61602-X)
28. Beevers SD, Kitwiroon N, Williams ML, Carslaw DC. One way coupling of CMAQ and a road source dispersion model for fine scale air pollution predictions. *Atmos Environ (1994)*. 2012;59(C):47-58. doi:[10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.05.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.05.034)
29. Schaefer JD, Moffitt TE, Arseneault L, et al. Adolescent victimization and early-adult psychopathology: approaching causal inference using a longitudinal twin study to rule out noncausal explanations. *Clin Psychol Sci*. 2018;6(3):352-371. doi:[10.1177/2167702617741381](https://doi.org/10.1177/2167702617741381)

30. Brunner M, Nagy G, Wilhelm O. A tutorial on hierarchically structured constructs. *J Pers*. 2012;80(4):796-846. doi:10.1111/j.1467-6494.2011.00749.x
31. Rindskopf D, Rose T. Some theory and applications of confirmatory second-order factor analysis. *Multivariate Behav Res*. 1988;23(1):51-67. doi:10.1207/s15327906mbr2301\_3
32. Belsky DW, Caspi A, Arseneault L, et al. Genetics and the geography of health, behaviour and attainment. *Nat Hum Behav*. 2019;3(6):576-586. doi:10.1038/s41562-019-0562-1
33. Reuben A, Sugden K, Arseneault L, et al. Association of neighborhood disadvantage in childhood with DNA methylation in young adulthood. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(6):e206095. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.6095
34. Peen J, Schoevers RA, Beekman AT, Dekker J. The current status of urban-rural differences in psychiatric disorders. *Acta Psychiatr Scand*. 2010;121(2):84-93. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0447.2009.01438.x
35. Newbury J, Arseneault L, Caspi A, Moffitt TE, Odgers CL, Fisher HL. Why are children in urban neighborhoods at increased risk for psychotic symptoms? findings from a UK longitudinal cohort study. *Schizophr Bull*. 2016;42(6):1372-1383. doi:10.1093/schbul/sbw052
36. The Dunedin & E-Risk Study Concept Paper Tracking Workspace. Accessed February 11, 2020. <https://sites.google.com/site/dunedinriskconceptpapers/home>
37. World Health Organization. Air Quality Guidelines – Global Update 2005. 2006. Accessed June 11, 2019. <https://www.who.int/airpollution/publications/aqg2005/en/>
38. Funder DC, Ozer DJ. Evaluating effect size in psychological research: sense and nonsense. *Adv Methods Pract Psychol Sci*. 2019;2(2):156-168. doi:10.1177/2515245919847202
39. Reuben A, Schaefer JD, Moffitt TE, et al. Association of childhood lead exposure with adult personality traits and lifelong mental health. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2019;76(4):418-425. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2018.4192
40. India State-Level Disease Burden Initiative Air Pollution Collaborators. Health and economic impact of air pollution in the states of India: the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet Planet Health*. 2021;5(1):e25-e38. doi:10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30298-9
41. World Health Organization. Ambient (outdoor) air pollution. Published 2018. Accessed March 27, 2020. [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ambient-\(outdoor\)-air-quality-and-health](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ambient-(outdoor)-air-quality-and-health)
42. Zhang X, Chen X, Zhang X. The impact of exposure to air pollution on cognitive performance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2018;115(37):9193-9197. doi:10.1073/pnas.1809474115
43. Clifford A, Lang L, Chen R, Anstey KJ, Seaton A. Exposure to air pollution and cognitive functioning across the life course: a systematic literature review. *Environ Res*. 2016;147:383-398. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2016.01.018
44. Russ TC, Reis S, van Tongeren M. Air pollution and brain health: defining the research agenda. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2019;32(2):97-104. doi:10.1097/YCO.0000000000000480
45. Matz CJ, Egged M, Hocking R, Seenundun S, Charman N, Edmonds N. Human health effects of traffic-related air pollution (TRAP): a scoping review protocol. *Syst Rev*. 2019;8(1):223. doi:10.1186/s13643-019-1106-5
46. Newbury JB, Reuben A, Fisher HL. Lead exposure as a confounding factor in the association of air pollution exposure and psychotic experiences—reply. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2019;76(10):1096-1097. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.1699
47. Clark C, Crumpler C, Notley AH. Evidence for environmental noise effects on health for the United Kingdom policy context: a systematic review of the effects of environmental noise on mental health, wellbeing, quality of life, cancer, dementia, birth, reproductive outcomes, and cognition. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(2):393. doi:10.3390/ijerph17020393
48. Schubert M, Hegewald J, Freiberg A, et al. Behavioral and emotional disorders and transportation noise among children and adolescents: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16(18):3336. doi:10.3390/ijerph16183336

## SUPPLEMENT.

**eFigure 1.** Distribution of E-Risk Study Families' Addresses Across England and Wales

**eFigure 2.** The E-Risk Study Families' Addresses Are a Near-Perfect Match to the Deciles of the UK Government's Index of Multiple Deprivation

**eFigure 3.** The Structure of Psychopathology at Age 18 Years in the E-Risk Cohort

**eTable 1.** Family and Individual-Level Sociodemographic Characteristics of the E-Risk Participants at Age 18 Years (Panel A) and Participant Neighborhood Characteristics (Panel B)

**eTable 2.** Performance Statistics for the Air Pollution Exposure Estimates

**eTable 3.** Association of NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> Air Pollution Exposure at Ages 10 and 18 With General Psychopathology and the Correlated Factors of Internalizing, Externalizing, and Thought Disorder at Age 18 Years

**eTable 4.** Correlation of NO<sub>x</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> Air Pollution With Neighborhood Urbanicity and Disadvantageous Neighborhood Characteristics

**eTable 5.** Association of NO<sub>x</sub> Air Pollution Exposure With General Psychopathology and Internalizing, Externalizing, and Thought Disorder Accounting for Correlated Disadvantageous Neighborhood Characteristics

**eAppendix 1.** Additional Details on the E-Risk Study Sample

**eAppendix 2.** Additional Details on the Measurement of Air Pollution Exposure

**eAppendix 3.** Additional Details of the Measures of Psychopathology

**eAppendix 4.** Additional Details on the Study Covariates

**eAppendix 5.** Additional Details on the Disadvantageous Neighborhood Characteristics and Measurement of Urbanicity

**eAppendix 6.** Comparison of Participants With and Without Complete Air Pollution and Psychopathology Data

**eReferences**